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## Structural Background

## Introduction

The Structural Depth analysis will look at many different things regarding the structure of the Hershey Academic Support Center. First, design criteria including some material strengths and code references will be presented as a guide to the work that follows. Next, existing conditions of both the gravity and lateral load will be presented to give a foundation to work from. Lateral systems will be next with more specifics and the actual calculation of partial fixity. After these values are confirmed, the floor system will be examined using the new loads in the Type 2 with Wind analysis. Lastly, conclusions will be made as to the success of the study and insights will be given as to why the data showed specific results.

## Design Criteria

The main code used in the design of the Hershey Academic Support Building was the BOCA 1996 code, but for current design purposes and the purpose of computer analysis, ASCE 7-02 was used. The original building also used the $9^{\text {th }}$ Edition of the Allowable Stress Design for structural steel calculations, but AISC Load and Resistance Factor Design, $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Edition was used for my calculations.

Another criterion given by the building designers was material strengths Concrete will be stone aggregate concrete with a minimum compressive strength of 4000 psi at 28 days. All Structural steel beams will be $\mathrm{Fy}=50,000 \mathrm{psi}$ as given by ASTM A-572 and all columns, angles, channels, and miscellaneous steel will be Fy $=36,000 \mathrm{psi}$ as given by ASTM A-36. Welded connections shall be done with E70XX Electrodes with 3/16" minimum material and bolted connections will use $3 / 4$ " $\varnothing$ ASTM A325N high strength bolts minimum. Lastly, all metal floor deck shall be 3 " VLI - Galvanized 20 Gage composite decking and will be designed to resist a floor shear load of 2000 plf and a roof shear load of 3000 plf as well as uplift loads. All of these specifications were conformed to throughout the analysis.

Gravity loads used on the building are as follows:

## Dead Loads

Total Roof Dead Load = 30 psf
Total Penthouse Dead Load $=125 \mathrm{psf}$
Total Office Dead Load = 70 psf

## Live Loads

Roof $=30 \mathrm{psf}+$ snow drifting
High Density File Storage = 200 psf, uniformly distributed
Main Floor $=100 \mathrm{psf}$ (with corridors and partitions)
Mechanical Penthouse $=150$ psf
Stairs $=100 \mathrm{psf}$
Total Snow Load $=21$ psf

Lateral loading conditions that were used to check the structure:
~Case \#1: 1.4D
~Case \#2: $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~L}+0.5 \mathrm{~S}$
~Case \#3: $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~S}+0.8 \mathrm{~W}$
$\sim$ Case \#4: $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.6 \mathrm{~W}+0.5 \mathrm{~L}+0.5 \mathrm{~S}$
$\sim$ Case \#5: $1.2 \mathrm{D}+1.0 \mathrm{E}+0.5 \mathrm{~L}+0.2 \mathrm{~S}$
~Case \#6: 0.9D +1.6W
~Case \#7: 0.9D + 1.0E

## Existing Conditions

Presented below are some of the more important existing conditions of the Hershey Academic Support Center. Any other relevant conditions can be found in the appropriate Appendix.

## Gravity Spot Check

A gravity load spot check was performed on the interior beams, a typical girder and a typical column to ensure stability. The results were:
Typical beam - $\varnothing \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=274.78^{\prime} \mathrm{k}$--> W18x40 with $\varnothing_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}=294^{\prime} \mathrm{k}$
The original design was a $\mathrm{W} 16 \times 31$ with $3 / 4$ " of camber, which is why the designed beam is larger.

Typical Girder - $\varnothing \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=313.60^{\prime} \mathrm{k}$--> W21x44 with $\varnothing_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}=358^{\prime} \mathrm{k}$
The original design was a W21x50 and since this is larger than the projected girder from wind moments, it passes shear checks.
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Typical Column - Peff $=842.88 \mathrm{k}$--> W14x90 with $\varnothing_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}=969 \mathrm{k}$
The original design was a W14×120 which can be attributed to the extra weight of the Mechanical Penthouse and possibly the wet weight of the composite slab.

## Lateral Load Case Check

Using the 7 load cases above, loads were calculated and the controlling case was found to be Load Case \#6: 0.9D+1.6W. This also led to the introduction of Type 2 with Wind Analysis that is explained a little later on.


Wind Loads

| $z(\mathrm{ft})$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{wz}}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\text {lth }}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\text {tot }}\right) \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ | $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{wz}}\right) \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{W}$ | $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\text {llt }}\right) \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{W}$ | $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\text {tot }}\right) \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{W}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-15$ | 0.85 | 9.06304 | 6.079937 | -5.21265 | 11.29259 | 6.257873 | -3.21912 | 9.476997 |
| 20 | 0.9 | 9.59616 | 6.437581 | -5.21265 | 11.65023 | 6.625984 | -3.21912 | 9.845107 |
| 25 | 0.94 | 10.02266 | 6.723695 | -5.21265 | 11.93635 | 6.920472 | -3.21912 | 10.1396 |
| 30 | 0.98 | 10.44915 | 7.00981 | -5.21265 | 12.22246 | 7.21496 | -3.21912 | 10.43408 |
| 40 | 1.04 | 11.0889 | 7.438982 | -5.21265 | 12.65163 | 7.656692 | -3.21912 | 10.87582 |
| 50 | 1.09 | 11.62202 | 7.796626 | -5.21265 | 13.00928 | 8.024802 | -3.21912 | 11.24393 |
| 60 | 1.13 | 12.04851 | 8.08274 | -5.21265 | 13.29539 | 8.319291 | -3.21912 | 11.53841 |
| 70 | 1.17 | 12.47501 | 8.368855 | -5.21265 | 13.58151 | 8.613779 | -3.21912 | 11.8329 |


|  | N-S | E-W |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Story Shear @ 0 | 21.21098 | 6.811023 |
| Story Shear @ 1 | 43.07454 | 13.87904 |
| Stry Shear @ 2 | 46.18385 | 15.10357 |
| Story Shear @ 3 | 48.39108 | 15.97283 |
| Story Shear @ 4 | 50.09928 | 16.64556 |
| Story Shear @ 5 | 35.30126 | 10.81774 |

The charts shown above summarize the results found from my wind calculation analysis. Shown below is the wind loading for a typical building wall as well as story forces. Specific calculations of wind forces are located in the Appendix as well as the calculation of Seismic forces.


## Story Deflection Check

Story Deflection for the assumed fully restrained moment connections was calculated by SAP2000 which was used to analyze each moment frame individually in the building. Using a 1 k force at the top of the each frame structure, story deflections were found and then converted into stiffness values by the equation Stiffness $(K)=1 /$ deflection $(\Delta)$. When combined, these stiffnesses
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give the load distribution for the moment frame, the floor, and the total section as well. The values obtained for a typical frame in each section are listed below.
Detailed calculations can be found in the appendix.

Deflection Calculation H/400: $\left(\left(69^{\prime}\right)^{*}(12 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{ft})\right) / 400=2.07 \mathrm{in}$
East Section Frame \#12: Story Drift $=2.02$ in $<2.07$ in ALLOW
West Section Frame \#2: Story Drift $=1.91 \mathrm{in}$ < 2.07in ALLOW
Center Section Frame \#D: Story Drift $=1.83$ in $<2.07$ in ALLOW

Spot Checks, Overturning, and Strength checks were all also calculated as well and all of them passed (Detailed Calculations in the Appendix).


